The IFA Mourns the Loss of Professor Emerita Evelyn B. Harrison

Evelyn Byrd Harrison, born in 1920, was descended from two *First Families of Virginia*, lineages recognized as belonging among the first wave of English settlers to colonize Jamestown in the 17th century. The Byrds became a lasting and prominent political dynasty in Virginia, as did the Harrisons who produced Virginia governors and two American presidents. As a Southerner, she was proud of her family heritage, which even boasted distant kinship to Pocahontas, but her life and her life’s work was spent in other geographies. She came North for her education, receiving her A.B. from Barnard College in 1941, and completing her M.A. at Columbia University in 1943. At that point she had a break from her studies. Like many of her peers, she was enlisted into the war effort, serving as a Research Analytic Specialist until 1945, where she worked on encryption and code breaking. Believing that as a Classicist she had superior language skills, the Army gave her a crash course in Japanese and then set her to translating intercepted Japanese messages for the War Department.

By 1946 she resumed her doctoral studies at Columbia and in 1949 she joined the staff of the excavations of the Athenian Agora conducted by the American School of Classical Studies at Athens. Her dissertation on the portrait sculpture from the Agora came out of this work. It was revised and published in 1953, the year after she was awarded her Ph.D., under the title *Portrait Sculpture*. It inaugurated the series, *The Athenian Agora: Results of Excavations Conducted by the American School of Classical Studies at Athens*. In 1965 she contributed volume XI, *Archaic and Archaistic Sculpture* to the series. She wrote in its preface, “The Agora sculpture helps us to understand the everyday background from which the great Athenian sculpture sprang and the working of the tradition which kept these inventions alive for the enjoyment of later ages.” Exploring this interplay was a hallmark of her scholarly output. Both of these books, which came early in her career, represented important contributions to the field and secured her place in it as a scholar. Her superb eye, her analytical intellect and her gifts as a writer abound in these works.
Her teaching career began at the University of Cincinnati in 1951, where in addition to art history she taught first-year Greek and Latin. Between 1953 and 1955 she returned to the Agora Excavations as a researcher before joining the faculty of the Department of Art History and Archaeology of Columbia University where she was named full professor in 1967. Following Columbia, she spent four years at Princeton University and joined the faculty of the Institute of Fine Arts, NYU in 1974 as the Edith Kitzmiller Professor of Fine Arts. She became Emerita in 1992.

Throughout her long career she spent almost every summer at the American School in Athens, studying the material from the Agora and elsewhere. This meant that her unusually sharp eyes were continually honed. She possessed an uncommon visual command of Greek sculpture akin to a mental database that allowed her to readily call up images of everything she had ever seen. This visual acuity, along with her deep and broad knowledge of the ancient world, grounded her scholarly pursuits. Above all, her interest was in iconography, in uncovering and understanding the meaning of sculpture, monuments and architecture especially within the context of 5th century Greece, although her publications ranged from the Daedalic period to the age of Constantine. She was a master of using details, often from fragments, to point to a deeper understanding of Greek history, culture and society. The questions she posed were basic. For example, she wanted to know who was being represented, what story or myth was being told, why it was being told in a certain way at a certain time, and how that ultimately fit into the larger scheme of life during that period.

As an art historian her research always proceeded from the object first. She began by intensely looking at and examining what she was studying and from there proceeded into close analysis. She possessed a phenomenal capacity to analyze style. In particular, her contributions to style analyses of costume, including hair and dress, down to details such as how particular folds draped, played an important role in suggesting dates for sculpture and monuments and placing
them into an overall 5th century chronology, a period in which very few securely dated pieces exist.

Equally important, she translated her research and hypotheses into books and articles that were admired for their well thought out, methodical arguments and their precise language. Her writing also evoked her empathetic connection to the ancient world and its inhabitants. For example, in *Ancient Portraits* her description of a marble miniature of Julia Domna brings the sculpture to life, “Expressive eyes and mobile mouth convey the vivid personality of the Syrian-born empress, to whom the Athenians gave divine honors.” And, in her article, “Athena and Athens in the East Pediment of the Parthenon”, the sculptural quality of Athena’s hair evokes much more when she suggests, “We are tempted to recall that Athena’s birthday was in mid-summer, when the strong north wind stirs up the Aegean in just such lovely waves.”

Her years at the Institute were prolific. She published numerous articles, including an important three-part series “Alkamenes’ Sculptures for the Hephaisteion” that appeared in the American Journal of Archaeology in 1977. These years were also devoted to teaching, as well as mentoring her PhD students. She was very discriminating in the doctoral candidates she took on, choosing them not only for their talent but also for the potential she saw in them. Often, she could discern the direction of their interests before they did and she was extremely skillful in assigning research topics that well suited them. As a mentor, she tended to teach by listening, guiding and showing, rather than telling. For instance, she might suggest, then demonstrate how a particular fragment fit into a sculpture, something that could be difficult for those in training to see at first. And, she was always generous with her time, making herself available to talk through questions and problems. In fact, an afternoon conversation with her could last an hour or much longer and range over the entire ancient world, from sculpture to politics to literature. She was famous for her erudition, which spanned the ancient world. If a point of interest arose that she was not familiar with, her own deep sense of curiosity would propel her to investigate it for herself.
Outwardly she possessed a courtly Southern manner and always had a ready smile but immediately underneath this gracious exterior was the force of her fierce intellect. She could be intimidating, yet she was also deeply admired, especially by her students. On a day-to-day basis they witnessed her commitment to teaching and to scholarship. As “Miss Harrison students” they experienced her loyalty in supporting them through their degrees and onto the next phases of their careers. She was unfailingly helpful, not only as a teacher, but in practical matters, too, such as steering them towards appropriate fellowship opportunities and advocating that they receive fair pay for their work, including student jobs.

After a long and distinguished career the Archaeological Institute of America presented her with the Gold Medal for Distinguished Archaeological Achievement in 1992. Around the same time, as an eminence grise in the field of Greek sculpture she was among a small group of noted experts asked to give their professional opinions on the controversial kouros that the Getty Museum was then in the process of acquiring. Was it right or not? Was it a fake? Ultimately the conclusion would rely heavily on the trained eyes of this small cadre of experts. On seeing the kouros, her immediate response was subdued and unequivocal. She simply indicated that she was sorry to hear the Getty was about to complete the purchase of the statue.

Somewhat surprisingly, the story of her role in the Getty kouros controversy crossed into the realm of popular culture when it was featured in Malcolm Gladwell’s book, Blink: the Power of Thinking without Thinking, published in 2005. It served as the lead-in case study setting up the book’s premise that first impressions are generally right because they are based on a surfeit of underlying experience and knowledge that merge into instant judgments that are usually intuitively sound. Eve Harrison’s immediate and assured response to the questionable Getty kouros beautifully demonstrated Gladwell’s hypothesis. At the same time this story does not begin to do justice to the depth of her scholarship and accomplishments, which yielded her profound knowledge of ancient Greek sculpture. Her reaction to the Getty kouros was prefigured some 40 years earlier when she wrote of a particular image in Archaic and Archaistic
Sculpture, “…our plates appear distressingly different from those of a museum catalogue. One does not see by a glance at Plate 1 that the fragments shown there belong to a kouros better than the one in New York. One learns it by studying.”

She brought immense gifts to her profession as an art historian that combined with her commitment to scholarship to ensure she played a significant role in shaping the course of Classical art history during the second half of the 20th century, one that deepened our understanding of individual works of art and broadened our awareness of their archaeological, cultural and historical context.