

FTCF MEETING MINUTES – FINAL

January 27, 2014 scheduled for 10 am to 12 pm

Kimmel, Room 802

**Present:**

|                                      |                               |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Ann Marie Mauro (Co-Chair)</b>    | <b>Randy Mowry (Co-Chair)</b> |
| <b>Susan Stehlik (Co-Secretary)</b>  |                               |
| <b>Amy Michelle Becker</b>           | <b>Prashiela Manga</b>        |
| <b>Fred Carl</b>                     | <b>Brian Mooney</b>           |
| <b>Joseph Carter</b>                 | <b>Vaskuki Nesiah</b>         |
| <b>Lawrence Chiarelli (on phone)</b> | <b>Ward Regan</b>             |
| <b>Nancy Fefferman</b>               | <b>Dina Rosenfeld</b>         |
| <b>Gabrielle Gold-von Simson</b>     | <b>Ezra Sacks</b>             |
| <b>John Halpin</b>                   | <b>Nina Servizzi</b>          |
| <b>Neal Herman</b>                   | <b>Benjamin Stewart</b>       |
| <b>Jessie Keyt</b>                   | <b>William Wesbrooks</b>      |
| <b>Matthew Leingang</b>              | <b>Patrick Ying</b>           |

1. Meeting called to order at 10:04 am, Kimmel 802; adjourned at 11:59 am
2. Approval of Agenda and Minutes of Jan. 13;
3. Members agreed to maintain official meeting minutes with topics discussed and actions taken and separate, more detailed confidential notes of meeting discussions for Committee members, particularly those who cannot attend meetings. These confidential meeting notes would not be for public distribution.
4. Co-Chairs update:
  - A. Importance of today's meeting
    - It is a day to come to a strong consensus & make decisions on a viable option to recommend to SCOG
    - We want to recommend a viable option that endorses parity & a strong representation of our #s that can be supported by majority in SCOG & University Senate, or the Trustees may act on their own
  - B. Documents received from Provost 1.17.14
    - Memo about "Defining Full-Time Contract Faculty for the Purpose of Representation in University Governance" along with the memo's two attachments on Bylaws 79 & 84 and updated NTT titles
    - Posted on Google group in folder

- C. Meeting with FSC Executive Committee on 1.15.14
- Positive meeting that gave insight on FSC perspective on governance issues
  - We made clear the concerns of our constituency & importance of our mutual collaborative & overlapping roles within university
  - They emphasized importance of NTT/CF representation on University Senate & getting “foot in the door” by having separate councils
  - They said all of the FSC committees are of relevance to T/TT and NTT/CF with the exception of tenure modifications.
  - Recommended regular communication and meetings between both executive councils

**5. Discussion of subgroup report on representation models (see attachments)**

- a. Subgroup lead by Randy with Patrick, Mary, Matthew, and John as members met on 1/16/14 & 1/24/14
- b. Different scenarios were presented using spreadsheet developed by Patrick based on SCOG apportionment formula
- c. Discussion of the impact of these scenarios on decision-making, committee membership for NTT, and probability of acceptance

**6. Discussion of other models & structures (see attached updated summary tables)**

**7. Discussion to reach consensus**

- a. Discussion related to separate vs. unified council and joint vs. separate faculty council committees
- b. All agreed NTT/CF would not vote on matters related to tenure.
- c. Results of Vote on Separate vs. Unified Faculty Councils:
  - 17 for separate councils; 4 for unified council
  - Additional note for the record: 2 members had to leave prior to voting. One stated would have voted for separate councils & another stated would have voted with majority.
- d. Results of Vote on Joint vs. Separate Faculty Council Committees:
  - Members present unanimously voted in favor of joint faculty council committees (n=21)
  - Additional note for the record: 2 members had to leave prior to voting. One stated in favor of joint committees & 1 stated in favor of separate committees.
- e. Result: Planning Committee will recommend a separate faculty council for NTT/CF faculty; joint faculty committees with T/TT and equal representation; and NTT/CF representation equal to T/TT faculty on the University Senate Executive Committee and all Senate committees.

**8. Next steps**

- a. Begin working on Report with recommendations (3-5 pages plus appendices)
- b. Next meeting will be held during the week of Feb. 3<sup>rd</sup> to review draft report; watch for doodle poll.
- c. Ezra and Vasuki will work on draft report with Co-Chairs
- d. A request was made to place the Provost documents on agenda for discussion at the next meeting
- e. It was suggested members get faculty feedback from the various schools

**9. Adjournment at 11:59am**