

FTCF MEETING MINUTES – FINAL

DECEMBER 2, 2013

Present:

Claudia Angelos	Ann Marie Mauro (Co-Chair)
Hannah Brueckner (via phone from Abu Dhabi)	Brian Mooney
Fred Carl	Randy Mowry (Co-Chair)
Joseph Carter	Vaskuki Nesiah
Lawrence Chiarelli	Ward Regan
Gabrielle Gold-von Simson	Ezra Sacks
John Halpin	Nina Servizzi
Neal Herman	Susan Stehlik
Jessie Keyt	Benjamin Stewart
Sylvia Maier	Frederick Viguier
Prasheila Manga	Bill Wesbrooks
	Patrick Ying

Meeting called to order at 10.05am, Kimmel 406; Adjourned at 11:35am

1. Distribution of Agenda and Meeting Minutes

Nina suggested to post minutes and other documents on our Google Group site with “share request” so people know a new document has been posted. => Agreed.

Minutes approved as revised, with 1 abstention. Will be posted on our Google Group site

2. Updates by Co-Chairs regarding Schedule and Discussion of Upcoming Meetings

Dates set for:

Dec. 9 with SCOG & Senate Executive Committee, 11.00-12.00, Kimmel 914

Dec.16 with FTFC, 2.30 – 4.00, Kimmel 406

Co-Chairs will ask for SCOG meeting to be taped for later circulation to absent Committee members and an Agenda, which will be circulated before the meeting.

All deans will be informed of meeting dates of our committee but not copied on all correspondence

Note: Request was for one consolidated meeting rather than 3 to assure greater attendance, particularly during this winter break.

3. Distribution and Discussion of SCOG Survey, FTFC Titles and % Breakdown of FTFC Faculty by School

- SCOG survey is conducted anonymously which makes it impossible to track data on participation by school
- Poly data not on list of % breakdown of FTFC Faculty. Co-Chairs will ask for reason and add. Medical school hasn't used faculty codes for 22 years
Clarify School of Medicine's divergent numbers (990 vs 886)

110% increase in CF at NYU between 2002-2012

Concern: no comparative data with TTF/TF

Decision: Co-Chairs will check and get numbers of TTF/TF

4. Formalization of Committee Voting Procedure

Suggestion: To formalize committee decision-making, use formal motion and vote procedure henceforth
Decision: Agreed upon unanimously

5. Representation of and by FTFC Committee

Question: Is it a School-by-School decision who is represented and what the faculty titles are?

Answer: Yes, it is a School's decision

Important when we decide on proportional distribution and representation in the Senate
For example, School of Medicine has about 1000 FTFC whereas SCPS has 96

Unclear who we are representing because of different lines and titles

Gallatin uses "Associate Professor of Practice" (purely for nomenclature), which is same as Clinical Associate Professor. Individual has a choice of title

Gallatin clarifies that certain faculty are not allowed to attend certain meetings

IFA (Institute for Fine Arts) and ISAW (Institute for the Study of the Ancient World) are listed with asterisks and are not a part of this committee

Co-Chairs will scan fact sheet on NTTF and post to Google groups.

6. Discussion of Black Report and AAUP Paper

Co-Chairs recommend reading AAUP paper for issues surrounding the role and definition of contingent faculty

Key problem: different definitions of faculty by Schools

Discussion of Recommendation 1 in AAUP Paper: "these include TF, TTF, full and part-time NTT *teachers*"

Agreement that our roles are vastly more diverse than just teaching

We need to be sure that this misperception is clarified in our final recommendation.

Determination that individuals whose roles are primarily administrative should not be considered faculty

Clarification: we are representing deans who may only teach one course and are primarily administrative

Recommendation that everyone read pp. 18, 23-25 of Black Report

Page 18 concerns non-tenure track faculty

Page 23 concerns tenured and tenure track faculty

7. Alternates

Question: Can we send alternates to our meetings?

Motion: Schools with only 1 representative may have the option to send a designated non-tenure track/contract faculty alternate from their school with no voting rights

Motion carried, 19 in favor, 1 against, 0 abstentions

8. Communication and Sharing of Minutes

Question: With whom shall we share minutes? SCOG? Entire faculty?

Decision: Share with entire faculty and NYU community, post on Provost Website (or similar), maximize transparency and set a positive example. Agreed upon unanimously

9. Suggested Questions for our Constituencies

Question 1: What term best describes our relationship to the University?

Non-tenure-track faculty? Contract faculty? Faculty?

What term is most inclusive?
We have multiple roles and multiple titles.

Question 2: Which model of representation do we seek in the Senate? Do we want to be integrated into the Faculty Senate, Council or form our own separate Council?

Possible models #3-5 in SCOG Memo to FTFC Committee found to be problematic and “unacceptable”

Possible models #1-2 in SCOG Memo to FTFC Committee found to be a basis for negotiations

Question 3: Should the Senate remain at the same size or should number(s) be increased? Should the representation of other groups remain the same, e.g., students?

Question 4: Should we have the same representation as TTF and TF faculty or be represented proportionally? What will be the magnitude of the power we will have as NTT/contract faculty?

Need to look at the existing data

10. Objectives for our Meeting with SCOG and Senate Executive Committee on Monday, December 9, 2013

Get meeting agenda and clarify the process for reviewing our final recommendation
Listen and ask for data

11. Next Steps

Email thoughts to Ann Marie and Randy on preferred terminology before meeting on Monday, Dec. 9
Think about key questions to ask constituents
Possibly send out email with talking points to constituents the Planning Committee Meeting on Dec 16th, 2013

Meeting adjourned at 11.35am.

Minutes prepared by Susan Stehlik and Sylvia Maier
Approved Dec. 16, 2013