Linking the collective-distributive opposition and the telic-atelic opposition Lucas Champollion champollion@nyu.edu NYU

Questions this poster addresses:

- Why does all reject cumulative readings and some collective predicates?
- How does it differ from *each*?

Conclusions:

- The constraint that prevents *for*-adverbials from modifying telic predicates is also operative in *all* and in *each*.
- All distributes down to subgroups; each distributes all the way down to atoms.
- This explains why all rejects cumulative readings and some collective predicates.
- Theories about *for*-adverbials can be adapted via stratified reference (Champollion 10, 15a,b) to formalize this account.

All and each are similar...

No cumulative readings (Zweig 08):

- (1) a. The safari participants saw 30 zebras. b. Each safari participant saw 30 zebras. c. All the safari participants saw 30 zebras.
- No *numerous*-type collectives (Dowty 87)
- a. *Each student was numerous. (2)
- b. *All of the students were numerous.

... but different

- Only *all* takes some collective predicates (Dowty 87):
- a. *Each student gathered in the hallway. (4)
- All the students gathered in the hallway. (5)

All is also similar to for-adverbials

For does not license cumulative readings: (6) John saw thirty zebras for three hours.

Its meaning is similar to *each* (Dowty 79): (7) a. John ran for 1 hour. \approx *at each moment*

The common core: stratified reference

An entity or event <i>x</i> has	$f(y_1)$	y_1
stratified reference (SR)		
wrt. dimension f ,	$f(y_2)$	y_2
granularity ɛ and	$f(y_3)$	(y_3)
predicate P iff x consists	$f(\gamma_{IA})$	γ_{IA}
of P -parts $y_1 \dots y_n$ that are	J(94)	94
ε-small when measured	$f(y_5)$	(y_5)
along the dimension f .	▼	\mathcal{X}

$SR_{f,\epsilon}(P,x) := x \in [\lambda y.P(y) \land \epsilon(f(y))]$

Sentence	f	8	Ρ
He ran for an hour	runtime	short times	run
each kid talked	agent	Atom	talked
all the kids met	agent	small subgroups	met

What *for* does

- For-adverbials impose SR to short temporal intervals:
- John talked for an hour. (*atelic*) (8)SR: The event consists of shorter talking events.
- (9) *John finished talking for an hour. (*telic*) SR: The event consists of shorter finish-talking events.

What each does

Each imposes SR **down to atomic parts of its agent**:

- Each of the safari participants saw 30 zebras. (10)SR: The event consists of seeing-30-zebras events whose agent is an atom. (true)
- *Each of the students was numerous. (11)SR: The state consists of being-numerous states whose agent is an atom. (false)

What all does

All imposes SR down to subgroups of cardinality two but not all the way down (cf. Kuhn 14):

- (12)
- (13)
- (14)

(15)

SR: The event consists of seeing-thirty-zebras events whose agents are subgroups of size two (Not true on the cumulative reading)

References

Grammar. Reidel. meaning. NYU PhD thesis.

All of the safari participants smiled. SR: The event consists of smiling whose agents are subgroups of size two or less (*True because "smile*" *is distributive*)

All of the students gathered in the hallway. SR: The event consists of gathering events whose agents are subgroups of size two or less (True because "gather" is "a bit" distributive)

*All of the students are numerous. SR: The state consists of being-numerous states whose agents are subgroups of size two (False because "be numerous" is collective)

SR is incompatible with cumulative readings

All of the safari participants saw thirty zebras.

Champollion, L. (2010). Parts of a whole. Penn PhD. ----- (2015a). Stratified reference. TL 41(3-4), 109-149. doi.org/10.1515/tl-2015-0008

----- (2015b). Refining stratified reference. TL 41(3-4), 223–240. doi.org/10.1515/tl-2015-0015

Dowty, D. (1979). Word meaning and Montague

----- (1987). Collective predicates, distributive predicates, and All. ESCOL 3, pp. 97–115.

Kuhn, J. (2014). Gather-type predicates: massiness over participants. NELS 45 talk. is.gd/ewagim Zweig, E. (2008). Dependent plurals and plural