1. Welcome - Chair Hengerer requests a motion to begin, the motion is granted, seconded and the meeting begins.

2. Approval of Minutes - Chair Hengerer request a motion to approve the minutes as they stand, the motion is granted, seconded, and the minutes are approved unanimously.

3. Student Affairs Update - Marc Wais, Senior Vice President for Student Affairs provides updates on the following:
   a. Presidential transition update - a number of major events have been added to his calendar and we are coordinating meetings with him as he acclimates.
   b. Sexual misconduct update - all incoming students, undergrad grad and professional are required to take this module. If you have not yet complete the modules, you will be unable to register for spring classes until you do. NYU will share out campus climate survey results later in the spring semester.
   c. Coles update - will remain open the entire fall semester and will remain open until the Lafayette building is ready - ensuring a smooth transition of service while construction begins at the Coles site.
   d. Hayden Commuter Den dedication, grand opening ceremony November 11 at 3:30 pm
   e. Holiday host dinner - open to both international and US students who wish to take part in thanksgiving dinners.

4. Committee Updates - if you have any questions for the chairs of these committees Rijul will share out email addresses.
   a. Academic Affairs (ac4103@nyu.edu)
      i. Systematic course evaluation process
      ii. Course and semester credits
      iii. Consistency across portal sites
      iv. Advising via campus climate survey
      v. Financial burdens with academic requirements
   b. Elections Commission (sab727@nyu.edu)
      i. Looking to increase student engagement in the global community by increasing voter participation in the all u elections process.
      ii. Incorporating NYU AD and Shanghai
      iii. Initiatives are to include elections chairs, consolidate the election timeline, integrate the global council, and create programming intact itches around all u elections.
   c. SABAC (student affairs budget advisory committee - michael@nyu.edu)
i. Soliciting budget recommendations - see guidelines detailed in the presentation
   ii. Recommendations are due November 23 at midnight.

d. Student Services (ae1005@nyu.edu)
   i. Accessing efficiency, level of convenience, and affordability of basic student services (transportation, bookstore, dining halls)
   ii. Diversity and cultural inclusivity recommendations for university office
   iii. Looking for tangible solutions to ay 15-16 and 16-17 concerns,
   iv. Working with different academic offices to put recommendations to faculty to reduce required text costs, costs of printing, additions and modifications of bus routes, and implementing regular means of soliciting student input.
   v. Looking into a bi-semesterly campus climate survey (see presentation for details).

e. Student Activities Board (vme2014@nyu.edu)
   i. 6 groups approved for new club development
   ii. Currently reviewing appeals
   iii. Governance committee - club audit timeline, all university club reactivation approval

5. Task Force Updates
   a. Affordability - Senator Shivery details the agenda items for the affordability task force.
      i. Promoting transparency from admissions and financial aid (sharing data)
      ii. International students
      iii. Ghost credits - looking to implement 75 dollar administration fee for getting credit for internships
      iv. MTA coordinating with student services
      v. Working with the bookstore to use cheaper versions of textbooks, to provide cheaper options for students
      vi. Laundry in residence halls - possible housing fee to make laundry free,
   b. Gender Understanding and Inclusivity
      i. Working on education awareness and inclusivity, including language training, looking for spaces (gender neutral bathrooms, locker rooms and housing)
      ii. Looking to create a campaign in collaboration with the LGBTQ center.
      iii. End of year looking to create a policy regarding gender neutral bathrooms, housing, and locker rooms. How are faculty trained?
   c. Sustainability
      i. Upcoming agenda items - take back the tap, and collaborative programs between student groups

6. Updates from the Floor
   a. IGC Council President, Jack Ciapciak introduces NY Dance Marathon. November 22 - Vice Chair Sakai Kawada will be reaching out for volunteers.

7. Old Business - Chair Hengerer details order of operations for the remainder of the meeting.
   a. SAB Restructure Proposal - see handout - Vice Chair Ettorre details the proposed changes of the proposal. UCSL members offer questions, concerns, or suggestions.
i. Senator (AD) Gardner asks for clarification on Article IV, Item A.

ii. Senator (LS) Regalado moves to approve the structural change.
   1. 44-0-9. The motion passes.

b. Allocation System Proposal
   i. Chairperson Hengerer opens the floor for the presentation by Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada and discussion; he also places a hold on any motions for 20 minutes to allow for discussion.
      1. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada presents the current budgetary system.
         a. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada explains the maximum request system, which tends to favor historical clubs. Clubs are asked to submit budgets for the semester and are invited to request contingency when necessary.
         b. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada presents problems of current system. One issue is a lack of proper transition, both in practical terms and in vision. Another issue is that allocation is based on budgeted amounts and not spent amounts; 27% of the ASSBAC fund ($1.4 million) was found to be unspent two years ago. The system also favors tenure (historical clubs) over merit. Finally, he explains that 258 of 300 clubs have 25% of their budget unspent.
      2. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada presents the proposed allocation system.
         a. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada explains that clubs can submit capital expenditure budget requests and event budget requests. He also elaborates that recurring events can be considered as one event, and that the new event-by-event system gives clubs more access to the overall club fund and without a cap.
      3. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada addresses concerns from outside the room.
         a. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada explains that Article IV, Section A gives clubs space to plan emergency events. He also claims that the allocation committee does not have any more power than it already had. He reviews the 28-day proposal requirement, and moves to amend the policy to allow for 14-day proposals without appeals in addition to the typical 28-day proposal. He explains that there is no prediction that the allocation committee will run out of money, and that clubs will still be able to autonomously submit requests.
            i. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada moves to amend II, B, 4, c to include the emergency clause.
            ii. 44-1-6. The motion passes.
   ii. Chairperson Hengerer opens the floor for discussion.
      1. Senator (SPS UG) Nachmany claims that the proposal will allow new clubs to be more robust through a free-market approach.
2. Juan (representative of Black Brown Coalition) claims that there will not be fast enough turnaround to respond to emergency events, that there is not transparency to this process, and that club life is not considering diversity of club voices.

3. Senator (Stern UG) Jamal seconds the thoughts of Senator (SPS UG) Nachmany and urges speakers to phrase their concerns in a more productive way in order to better improve the reform.

4. Azizjon Azimi (representative of MUN) expresses the concern of a historical club and says that the new system would create ambiguity as to the club’s future and their participation in future conferences.

5. President (IRHC) Chabbott expresses concern with the robustness of the allocation committee in order to productively address funding. He also raises the example of Duke, which uses this system and has run out of money.

6. Shawn (representative of Student Travel Magazine) expresses concerns of student publications that the policy does not address the fact that these clubs do not have consistent events and make large requests for publications.

7. President (IGC) Ciapciak claims that there is an abuse of the current system to lack intention in programming, and that the new system encourages better spending.

8. Noor (representative of MSA) presents petition signed by 297 members that presents three concerns: the removal of power from treasurers, the allocation of power to a committee that is not necessarily democratically elected, and that there are logistical concerns that arise off-the-cuff.

9. Senator (SH) Mayes defends his position as a former club leader, and claims that the treasurer role is integral to the student experience through a club; he advocates against this system because he sees it as micromanaging.
   a. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada explains that the lack of expenditure in the past has led to decreases in club funding.

10. Kyle (representatives from Colleges Against Cancer) expresses support of the proposal, and explains that the committee power will remain consistent and that proposals can still be submitted in bulk.

11. Senator (LS) Regalado claims that clubs can still have access to the same amount of funds if they are responsible. She explains that the allocation policies will remain consistent.

12. Arnav Mody (representative of MUNC) explains issues with planning the large conference. He explains that there are several last-minute costs, even for large events, that would not be accounted for in this proposal. He proposes that smaller clubs receive differential support and policies.
13. Senator (SPS G, Alt) Mateos proposes that graduate students are better represented on the allocation committee.

14. Senator Shivery addresses concerns from tournament teams by saying that the process will be relatively unchanged and that spending guidelines (which will remain consistent) will be used.

15. Desina (representative of Tandon club life) expresses that this system, which is similar to the one at Tandon, involves a large amount of micromanagement and is not empowering to students.

16. Senator (AD) Gardner asks what happens to unused allocated funding, especially with a state of emergency. Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada explains that the funds are simply pulled back with no repercussions. Senator (AD) Gardner explains that the new system gives an opportunity for larger clubs to obtain their critical funding.

17. Drew (representative of College Democrats) explains that this system discourages long-term budgetary planning. It gives the committee too much authority over these decisions.

18. President (CAB18) Rismondo explains that there are logistical concerns within the policy and within the structure of the committee, and that these concerns may let clubs down in terms of getting funding efficiently and dealing with issues. She proposes the emergency situation policies be reviewed closely and poses key logistical questions.
   a. Senator (LS) Regalado explains that the allocation committee includes club leaders (via application). She expands that the committee is less pressured because it gives the committee more time to review requests. She believes the committee is robust enough to handle this new structural change. She invites students to ask her or the committee for information on where they get their information.

19. Dee (representative of 23 clubs) explains that the people involved in the discussion of the policy are not truly representative of all-university club life.

20. Senator (Alt) Thibault echoes President (IRHC) Chabbott’s point that the policy does not account for the nuances of programming and that the emergency clause will become overused.

21. Senator (SPS UG) Nachmany moves to vote on the proposal; Senator (Alt) Thibault moves to kill the motion.
   a. 27-10-10. The motion is killed.

22. Senator (SPS UG) Nachmany moves to reduce speaking time to 30 seconds.
   a. 16 in favor. The motion does not meet a ⅔ requirement.

23. Senator (Alt) Thibault moves to table the policy indefinitely; Senator (AD) Gardener moves to kill the motion.
a. 29-3-2. The motion is killed.

24. Senator (LS) Regalado moves to table the policy to the next UCSL meeting and defer to the committee.
   a. 34-5-5. The motion is passed; the policy is tabled until the next UCSL meeting with deference to the SAB allocations committee.

25. Luxi (representative of MUN Travel Team) explains that tournament-style clubs will make heavy use of the emergency system due to the volatility of who is sent (and how many people are sent) to conferences. She expresses that the national standing of the travel team may fall.

26. Arisha (representative of MUN) expresses concern about micromanaging clubs and explains that 10 people within the allocation committee cannot understand the nuances of each club’s life.

27. Noor (representative of MSA) explains that there is room for amendments within the current system, but this proposal is extreme and will reduce the number of programs. He also expresses concerns that clubs were not consulted. He finally asks Vice Chair Sakai-Kawada how the policy will address the last-minute concerns through the emergency fund.

28. Anna Marks (representative of MUN) supports the ideas behind the proposal but expresses that it is very rough and needs to be revised before it can even be thought of being implemented.

29. Chair Co moves to allow the final 4 people on the floor to speak for 30 seconds each.
   a. 31-6-1. The motion passes.

30. Shawn (representative of Student Travel Magazine) explains that publication prices cannot be projected that far in advance due to materials and other sources of funding.

31. Kyle (representative from Colleges against Cancer) explains that club leaders were informed of the town hall and decided to not attend of their own.

32. Tasha (representative of Caribbean Student Association) asks why the committee did not review clubs that were actually in violation of policies as opposed to the whole system.

33. Arif (representative of Muslim-Christian Dialogue) explains that his new club does not need a large budget and that there is room for growth in the current system.

---

c. Motions from the Floor

8. Save the Dates & Announcements
   a. December Student Government Meeting
      i. Thursday, December 3rd, 5:30 - 7:00 PM | Colloquium Room
   b. Student Government Network Mixer
      i. Thursday, December 3rd, 7:00 - 9:00 PM
c. Student Government Office Hours

d. Engagement Sessions

9. Adjournment - Chair Hengerer requests a motion to adjourn, the motion is granted, seconded and the meeting is adjourned.