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What is the class about?

- Course description and syllabus:
  - http://www.nyu.edu/classes/jcf/CSCI-GA.2433-001
  - http://cs.nyu.edu/courses/fall11/CSCI-GA.2433-001/

- Textbooks:
    Ramez Elmasri and Shamkant Navathe
    Addison Wesley
Icons / Metaphors

- Information
- Common Realization
- Knowledge/Competency Pattern
- Governance
- Alignment
- Solution Approach
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The Relational Algebra and Relational Calculus

- Relational algebra
  - Basic set of operations for the relational model
- Relational algebra expression
  - Sequence of relational algebra operations
- Relational calculus
  - Higher-level declarative language for specifying relational queries
The SELECT Operation

- Subset of the tuples from a relation that satisfies a selection condition:

\[ \sigma_{<\text{selection condition}>}(R) \]

- Boolean expression contains clauses of the form
  - \(<\text{attribute name}> \ <\text{comparison op}> \ <\text{constant value}>\)
  - or
  - \(<\text{attribute name}> \ <\text{comparison op}> \ <\text{attribute name}>\)

Example:

\[ \sigma_{(\text{Dno}=4 \ \text{AND} \ \text{Salary}>25000) \ \text{OR} \ (\text{Dno}=5 \ \text{AND} \ \text{Salary}>30000)}(\text{EMPLOYEE}) \]

- \(<\text{selection condition}>\) applied independently to each individual tuple \(t\) in \(R\)
  - If condition evaluates to TRUE, tuple selected
  - Boolean conditions \textbf{AND}, \textbf{OR}, and \textbf{NOT}

- Unary
  - Applied to a single relation
Unary Relational Operations: SELECT and PROJECT (3/3)

- **Selectivity**
  - Fraction of tuples selected by a selection condition
- SELECT operation commutative
- **Cascade** SELECT operations into a single operation with AND condition

The PROJECT Operation

- Selects columns from table and discards the other columns:
  \[ \pi_{\text{attribute list}}(R) \]
- **Degree**
  - Number of attributes in <attribute list>
- **Duplicate elimination**
  - Result of PROJECT operation is a set of distinct tuples
Sequences of Operations and the RENAME Operation

- **In-line** expression:
  \[ \pi_{\text{Name}, \text{Lname}, \text{Salary}}(\sigma_{\text{Dep} = 5}(\text{EMPLOYEE})) \]

- **Sequence of operations:**
  \[
  \text{DEP5\_EMPS} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Dep} = 5}(\text{EMPLOYEE}) \\
  \text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Name}, \text{Lname}, \text{Salary}}(\text{DEP5\_EMPS})
  \]

- **Rename** attributes in intermediate results
  - RENAME operation
    \[ \rho_{S(B_1, B_2, ..., B_n)}(R) \] or \[ \rho_{S}(R) \] or \[ \rho_{(B_1, B_2, ..., B_n)}(R) \]

Relational Algebra Operations from Set Theory (1/2)

- **UNION, INTERSECTION, and MINUS**
  - Merge the elements of two sets in various ways
  - Binary operations
  - Relations must have the same type of tuples

- **UNION**
  - \[ R \cup S \]
  - Includes all tuples that are either in \( R \) or in \( S \) or in both \( R \) and \( S \)
  - Duplicate tuples eliminated
Relational Algebra Operations from Set Theory (2/2)

- INTERSECTION
  - $R \cap S$
  - Includes all tuples that are in both $R$ and $S$
- SET DIFFERENCE (or MINUS)
  - $R - S$
  - Includes all tuples that are in $R$ but not in $S$

The CARTESIAN PRODUCT (CROSS PRODUCT) Operation

- CARTESIAN PRODUCT
  - CROSS PRODUCT or CROSS JOIN
  - Denoted by $\times$
  - Binary set operation
  - Relations do not have to be union compatible
  - Useful when followed by a selection that matches values of attributes
The **JOIN** Operation
- Denoted by \( \bowtie \)
- Combine related tuples from two relations into single “longer” tuples
- General join condition of the form <condition> **AND** <condition> **AND**...**AND** <condition>
- Example:
  
  \[
  \text{DEPT\_MGR} \leftarrow \text{DEPARTMENT} \bowtie_{\text{Mgr\_ssn}=\text{Ssn}} \text{EMPLOYEE} \\
  \text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Dname, Lname, Fname}}(\text{DEPT\_MGR})
  \]

**THETA JOIN**
- Each <condition> of the form \( A_i \theta B_j \)
- \( A_i \) is an attribute of \( R \)
- \( B_j \) is an attribute of \( S \)
- \( A_i \) and \( B_j \) have the same domain
- \( \theta \) (theta) is one of the comparison operators:
  - \{ =, <, ≤, >, ≥, ≠ \}
Variations of JOIN: The EQUIJOIN and NATURAL JOIN (1/2)

- **EQUIJOIN**
  - Only `=` comparison operator used
  - Always have one or more pairs of attributes that have identical values in every tuple

- **NATURAL JOIN**
  - Denoted by `*`
  - Removes second (superfluous) attribute in an EQUIJOIN condition

Variations of JOIN: The EQUIJOIN and NATURAL JOIN (2/2)

- **Join selectivity**
  - Expected size of join result divided by the maximum size $n_R \times n_S$

- **Inner joins**
  - Type of match and combine operation
  - Defined formally as a combination of CARTESIAN PRODUCT and SELECTION
A Complete Set of Relational Algebra Operations

- Set of relational algebra operations \{\sigma, \pi, U, \rho, -, \times\} is a complete set
  - Any relational algebra operation can be expressed as a sequence of operations from this set

The DIVISION Operation

- Denoted by ÷
- Example: retrieve the names of employees who work on all the projects that ‘John Smith’ works on
- Apply to relations \( R(Z) \div S(X) \)
  - Attributes of \( R \) are a subset of the attributes of \( S \)
### Operations of Relational Algebra (1/2)

#### Table 6.1 Operations of Relational Algebra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>NOTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SELECT</td>
<td>Selects all tuples that satisfy the selection condition from a relation $R$.</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{selection condition}}(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>Produces a new relation with only some of the attributes of $R$, and removes duplicate tuples.</td>
<td>$\pi_{\text{attribute list}}(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THETA JOIN</td>
<td>Produces all combinations of tuples from $R_1$ and $R_2$ that satisfy the join condition.</td>
<td>$R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join conditions}} R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUJOIN</td>
<td>Produces all the combinations of tuples from $R_1$ and $R_2$ that satisfy a join condition with only equality comparisons.</td>
<td>$R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join attributes}} R_2 \text{ OR } R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join attributes 1}} R_2 \text{ OR } R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join attributes 2}} R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURAL JOIN</td>
<td>Same as EQUJOIN except that the join attributes of $R_2$ are not included in the resulting relation; if the join attributes have the same names, they do not have to be specified at all.</td>
<td>$R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join condition}} R_2 \text{ OR } R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join attributes 1}} R_2 \text{ OR } R_1 \bowtie_{\text{join attributes 2}} R_2 \text{ OR } R_1 \bowtie R_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operations of Relational Algebra (2/2)

#### Table 6.1 Operations of Relational Algebra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>NOTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNION</td>
<td>Produces a relation that includes all the tuples in $R_1$ or $R_2$, or both $R_1$ and $R_2$; $R_1$ and $R_2$ must be union compatible.</td>
<td>$R_1 \cup R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERSECTION</td>
<td>Produces a relation that includes all the tuples in both $R_1$ and $R_2$; $R_1$ and $R_2$ must be union compatible.</td>
<td>$R_1 \cap R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFERENCE</td>
<td>Produces a relation that includes all the tuples in $R_1$ that are not in $R_2$; $R_1$ and $R_2$ must be union compatible.</td>
<td>$R_1 - R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARTESIAN PRODUCT</td>
<td>Produces a relation that has the attributes of $R_1$ and $R_2$, and includes as tuples all possible combinations of tuples from $R_1$ and $R_2$.</td>
<td>$R_1 \times R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVISION</td>
<td>Produces a relation $R(X)$ that includes all tuples $t(X)$ in $R_1(Z)$ that appear in $R_1$ in combination with every tuple from $R_2(Y)$, where $Z = X \cup Y$.</td>
<td>$R_1(Z) \div R_2(Y)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notation for Query Trees

- **Query tree**
  - Represents the input relations of query as leaf nodes of the tree
  - Represents the relational algebra operations as internal nodes

Sample Query Tree for Relational Algebra Expression

![Sample Query Tree](image)

**Figure 6.9**
Query tree corresponding to the relational algebra expression for Q2.
Additional Relational Operations (1/2)

- **Generalized projection**
  - Allows functions of attributes to be included in the projection list
  \[ \pi_{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n} (R) \]

- **Aggregate functions and grouping**
  - Common functions applied to collections of numeric values
  - Include SUM, AVERAGE, MAXIMUM, and MINIMUM

Additional Relational Operations (2/2)

- **Group tuples by the value of some of their attributes**
  - Apply aggregate function independently to each group
  \[ \langle \text{grouping attributes} \rangle \ \underline{\sum} \ \langle \text{function list} \rangle (R) \]
Sample Aggregate Function Operation

Figure 6.10
The aggregate function operation.

a. \[ \prod_{Dno} \text{No of employees}, \text{Average sal}(Dno, 3 \ \text{COUNT} \ Ssn, \text{AVERAGE} \text{Salary}(\text{EMPLOYEE})). \]

b. \[ Dno \ 3 \ \text{COUNT} \ Ssn, \text{AVERAGE} \text{Salary}(\text{EMPLOYEE}). \]

c. \[ 3 \ \text{COUNT} \ Ssn, \text{AVERAGE} \text{Salary}(\text{EMPLOYEE}). \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dno</td>
<td>No of employees</td>
<td>Average sal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dno</th>
<th>Count_ssn</th>
<th>Average_salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that this is an arbitrary notation we are suggesting. There is no standard notation.

Recursive Closure Operations

- Operation applied to a recursive relationship between tuples of same type

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BORG\_SSN} & \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Ssn}}(\sigma_{\text{Fname}\text{=}\text{"Borg"} \ \text{AND} \ \text{Lname}\text{=}\text{"Jame"}}(\text{EMPLOYEE})) \\
\text{SUPERVISION(Ssn1, Ssn2)} & \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Ssn \ Super\_SSN}}(\text{EMPLOYEE}) \\
\text{RESULT1(Ssn)} & \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Ssn1}}(\text{SUPERVISION} \ \text{⇒} \ Ssn2\text{=Ssn} \ \text{BORG\_SSN})
\end{align*}
\]
OUTER JOIN Operations

- **Outer joins**
  - Keep all tuples in $R$, or all those in $S$, or all those in both relations regardless of whether or not they have matching tuples in the other relation

- **Types**
  - LEFT OUTER JOIN, RIGHT OUTER JOIN, FULL OUTER JOIN

- **Example:**
  
  \[
  \text{TEMP} \leftarrow (\text{EMPLOYEE} \bowtie_{\text{Ssn} = \text{Mgr_ssn}} \text{DEPARTMENT}) \\
  \text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Name, Minit, Lname, Dname}}(\text{TEMP})
  \]

The OUTER UNION Operation

- Take union of tuples from two relations that have some common attributes
  - Not union (type) compatible

- **Partially compatible**
  - All tuples from both relations included in the result
  - Tuples with the same value combination will appear only once
Examples of Queries in Relational Algebra (1/3)

**Query 1.** Retrieve the name and address of all employees who work for the 'Research' department.

\[
\text{RESEARCH\_DEPT} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Dname} = \text{'Research'}}(\text{DEPARTMENT})
\]
\[
\text{RESEARCH\_EMPS} \leftarrow (\text{RESEARCH\_DEPT} \bowtie \text{Dnumber,Name,Address in EMPLOYEE})
\]
\[
\text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Name, Lname, Address}}(\text{RESEARCH\_EMPS})
\]

As a single in-line expression, this query becomes:

\[
\pi_{\text{Name, Lname, Address}}(\sigma_{\text{Dname} = \text{'Research'}}(\text{DEPARTMENT} \bowtie \text{Dnumber,Name,Address in EMPLOYEE}))
\]

Examples of Queries in Relational Algebra (2/3)

**Query 2.** For every project located in 'Stafford', list the project number, the controlling department number, and the department manager's last name, address, and birth date.

\[
\text{STAFFORD\_PROJS} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Location} = \text{'Stafford'}}(\text{PROJECT})
\]
\[
\text{CONTR\_DEPTS} \leftarrow (\text{STAFFORD\_PROJS} \bowtie \text{Project, Department in DEPARTMENT})
\]
\[
\text{PROJ\_DEPT\_MGRS} \leftarrow (\text{CONTR\_DEPTS} \bowtie \text{Mgr\_sn, Mgr\_name, Mgr\_address, Mgr\_birth in EMPLOYEE})
\]
\[
\text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Project, Mgr\_number, Mgr\_name, Mgr\_address, Mgr\_birth}}(\text{PROJ\_DEPT\_MGRS})
\]

**Query 3.** Find the names of employees who work on all the projects controlled by department number 5.

\[
\text{DEPT5\_PROJS} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Project}}(\pi_{\text{Dnumber}}(\sigma_{\text{Dnumber} = 5}(\text{PROJECT})))
\]
\[
\text{EMP\_PROJ} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Project, Proj\_name, Proj\_address, Proj\_birth in WORKS\_ON}}(\text{DEPT5\_PROJS})
\]
\[
\text{RESULT\_EMP\_SSNS} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Proj\_name, Proj\_address, Proj\_birth}}(\text{EMP\_PROJ})
\]
\[
\text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Name, Name}}(\text{RESULT\_EMP\_SSNS} \bowtie \text{EMPLOYEE})
\]
Examples of Queries in Relational Algebra (3/3)

Query 6. Retrieve the names of employees who have no dependents.
This is an example of the type of query that uses the MINUS (SET DIFFERENCE) operation.
ALL_EMPS ← π£sn£(EMPLOYEE)
EMPS_WITH_DEPS(Ssn) ← π£sn£(DEPENDENT)
EMPS_WITHOUT_DEPS ← (ALL_EMPS - EMPS_WITH_DEPS)
RESULT ← π£name£,£fname£(EMPS_WITHOUT_DEPS × EMPLOYEE)

Query 7. List the names of managers who have at least one dependent.
MGRS(Ssn) ← πMgr_sn£(DEPARTMENT)
EMPS_WITH_DEPS(Ssn) ← π£sn£(DEPENDENT)
MGRS_WITH_DEPS ← (MGRS ∩ EMPS_WITH_DEPS)
RESULT ← π£name£,£fname£(MGRS_WITH_DEPS × EMPLOYEE)

The Tuple Relational Calculus

- Declarative expression
  - Specify a retrieval request
  - Non-procedural language
- Any retrieval that can be specified in basic relational algebra
  - Can also be specified in relational calculus
Tuple Variables and Range Relations

- **Tuple variables**
  - Ranges over a particular database relation

- **Satisfy** \( \text{COND}(t) \):
  - Specify: \( \{ t \mid \text{COND}(t) \} \)
    - **Range relation** \( R \) of \( t \)
    - Select particular combinations of tuples
    - Set of attributes to be retrieved (**requested attributes**)

Expressions and Formulas in Tuple Relational Calculus

- General expression of tuple relational calculus is of the form:
  \[
  \{ t_1.A_1, t_2.A_2, \ldots, t_n.A_n \mid \text{COND}(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}, \ldots, t_{n+m}) \}
  \]

- **Truth value** of an atom
  - Evaluates to either TRUE or FALSE for a specific combination of tuples

- **Formula** (Boolean condition)
  - Made up of one or more atoms connected via logical operators **AND**, **OR**, and **NOT**
Existential and Universal Quantifiers

- **Universal quantifier** (inverted “A”)
- **Existential quantifier** (mirrored “E”)
- Define a tuple variable in a formula as **free** or **bound**

Sample Queries in Tuple Relational Calculus

**Query 1.** List the name and address of all employees who work for the ‘Research’ department.

Q1: \[ \{ t\text{.Name}, t\text{.Address} \mid \text{EMPLOYEE}(t) \land (\exists d)(\text{DEPARTMENT}(d) \land d\text{.Name} = \text{Research} \land d\text{.DepartmentNo} = t\text{.DepartmentNo}) \} \]

**Query 4.** Make a list of project numbers for projects that involve an employee whose last name is ‘Smith’, either as a worker or as manager of the controlling department for the project.

Q4: \[ \{ p\text{.ProjectNumber} \mid \text{PROJECT}(p) \land ((\exists e)(\exists w)(\text{EMPLOYEE}(e) \land \text{WORKS_ON}(w) \land w\text{.ProjectNumber} = p\text{.ProjectNumber} \land e\text{.Name} = \text{Smith}) \land e\text{.SSN} = w\text{.SSN}) \lor ((\exists e)(\exists d)(\text{EMPLOYEE}(e) \land \text{DEPARTMENT}(d) \land e\text{.ManagerSSN} = e\text{.SSN} \land e\text{.Name} = \text{Smith})) \} \]
Notation for Query Graphs

Transforming the Universal and Existential Quantifiers

- Transform one type of quantifier into other with negation (preceded by **NOT**)
  - **AND** and **OR** replace one another
  - Negated formula becomes un-negated
  - Un-negated formula becomes negated
Using the Universal Quantifier in Queries

Query 3. List the names of employees who work on all the projects controlled by department number 5. One way to specify this query is to use the universal quantifier as shown:

Q3: \{c.Lname, c.Fname | employee(c) AND (\forall x)(\neg (project(x)) OR NOT (x.DeptNum=5) OR (\exists w)(works_on(w) AND w.Essn=c.Ssn AND x.DeptNum=w.DeptNum))\}.

Q3A: \{c.Lname, c.Fname | employee(c) AND (\neg (\exists x)(project(x)) AND (x.DeptNum=5) AND (\neg (\exists w)(works_on(w) AND w.Essn=c.Ssn AND x.DeptNum=w.DeptNum))\}.

Safe Expressions

- Guaranteed to yield a finite number of tuples as its result
  - Otherwise expression is called unsafe
- Expression is safe
  - If all values in its result are from the domain of the expression
The Domain Relational Calculus (1/2)

- Differs from tuple calculus in type of variables used in formulas
  - Variables range over single values from domains of attributes
- Formula is made up of **atoms**
  - Evaluate to either TRUE or FALSE for a specific set of values
    - Called the **truth values** of the atoms

The Domain Relational Calculus (2/2)

- QBE language
  - Based on domain relational calculus

**Query 1.** Retrieve the name and address of all employees who work for the 'Research' department.

\[ Q_1: \{q, r, v | \exists z (\exists l (\exists m (\text{EMPLOYEE}(q, s, t, u, v) \land \text{DEPARTMENT}(l, m) \land l = 'Research') \land m = z)) \} \]

**Query 2.** For every project located in 'Stafford', list the project number, the controlling department number, and the department manager's last name, birth date, and address.

\[ Q_2: \{i, k, s, t, u, v | \exists j (\exists m (\exists n (\exists l (\text{PROJECT}(i, j, k, l, m) \land \text{EMPLOYEE}(q, s, t, u, v) \land \text{DEPARTMENT}(l, m) \land k = m \land n = i \land j = 'Stafford')) \} \]
Summary

- Formal languages for relational model of data:
  - Relational algebra: operations, unary and binary operators
  - Some queries cannot be stated with basic relational algebra operations
    - But are important for practical use
- Relational calculus
  - Based predicate calculus
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Relational Algebra And SQL

- SQL is based on relational algebra with many extensions
  - Some necessary
  - Some unnecessary
- “Pure” relational algebra, use mathematical notation with Greek letters
- It is covered here using SQL syntax; that is this unit covers relational algebra, but it looks like SQL
  - Pure relational algebra is used in research, scientific papers, and some textbooks
  - So it is good to know it, and material is provided at the end of this unit material from which one can learn it
  - But in anything practical, including commercial systems, you will be using SQL
Key Differences Between SQL And “Pure” Relational Algebra

- SQL data model is a multiset not a set; still rows in tables (we sometimes continue calling relations)
  » Still no order among rows: no such thing as 1st row
  » We can (if we want to) count how many times a particular row appears in the table
  » We can remove/not remove duplicates as we specify (most of the time)
  » There are some operators that specifically pay attention to duplicates
  » We must know whether duplicates are removed (and how) for each SQL operation; luckily, easy
- Many redundant operators (relational algebra had only one: intersection)
- SQL provides statistical operators, such as AVG (average)
  » Can be performed on subsets of rows; e.g. average salary per company branch

Key Differences Between Relational Algebra And SQL

- Every domain is “enhanced” with a special element: NULL
  » Very strange semantics for handling these elements
- “Pretty printing” of output: sorting, and similar
- Operations for
  » Inserting
  » Deleting
  » Changing/updating (sometimes not easily reducible to deleting and inserting)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Syntax Comparison (1/2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relational Algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π ( a, b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma \ (d &gt; e) \land (f = g) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p \times q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi \ a, b \ \sigma \ (d &gt; e) \land (f = g) \ (p \times q) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

renaming AS (or blank space)

\( p := \text{result} \) INSERT INTO \( p \) result {assuming \( p \) was empty}

\( \pi \ a, b \ (p) \) (assume \( a, b \) are the only attributes) SELECT * FROM \( p \);

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Syntax Comparison (2/2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relational Algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p \cup q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p - q )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p \cap q )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sets And Operations On Them

- If \( A, B, \) and \( C \) are sets, then we have the operations
  - \( \cup \) Union, \( A \cup B = \{ x \mid x \in A \lor x \in B \} \)
  - \( \cap \) Intersection, \( A \cap B = \{ x \mid x \in A \land x \in B \} \)
  - \( \setminus \) Difference, \( A \setminus B = \{ x \mid x \in A \land x \notin B \} \)
  - \( \times \) Cartesian product, \( A \times B = \{ (x,y) \mid x \in A \land y \in B \} \), \( A \times B \times C = \{ (x,y,z) \mid x \in A \land y \in B \land z \in B \} \), etc.
- The above operations form an algebra, that is you can perform operations on results of operations, such as \((A \cap B) \times (C \times A)\)
  So you can write expressions and not just programs!

Relations in Relational Algebra

- Relations are sets of tuples, which we will also call rows, drawn from some domains
- Relational algebra deals with relations (which look like tables with fixed number of columns and varying number of rows)
- We assume that each domain is linearly ordered, so for each \( x \) and \( y \) from the domain, one of the following holds
  - \( x < y \)
  - \( x = y \)
  - \( x > y \)
- Frequently, such comparisons will be meaningful even if \( x \) and \( y \) are drawn from different columns
  - For example, one column deals with income and another with expenditure: we may want to compare them
Reminder: Relations in Relational Algebra

- The order of rows and whether a row appears once or many times does not matter.
- The order of columns matters, but as our columns will always be labeled, we will be able to reconstruct the order even if the columns are permuted.
- The following two relations are equal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many Empty Relations

- In set theory, there is only one empty set.
- For us, it is more convenient to think that for each relation schema, that for specific choice of column names and domains, there is a different empty relation.
- And of, course, two empty relations with different number of columns must be different.
- So for instance the two relations below are different:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The above needs to be stated more precisely to be “completely correct,” but as this will be intuitively clear, we do not need to worry about this too much.
Relational Algebra Versus Full SQL

- Relational algebra is restricted to querying the database
- Does not have support for
  » Primary keys
  » Foreign keys
  » Inserting data
  » Deleting data
  » Updating data
  » Indexing
  » Recovery
  » Concurrency
  » Security
  » …
- Does not care about efficiency, only about specifications of what is needed

Operations on relations

- There are several fundamental operations on relations
- We will describe them in turn:
  » Projection
  » Selection
  » Cartesian product
  » Union
  » Difference
  » Intersection (technically not fundamental)
- The very important property: *Any operation on relations produces a relation*
- This is why we call this an *algebra*
### Projection: Choice Of Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SQL statement**

```sql
SELECT B, A, D
FROM R
```

- We could have removed the duplicate row, but did not have to

### Selection: Choice Of Rows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SQL statement:**

```sql
SELECT *  (this means all columns)
FROM R
WHERE A <= C AND D = 4;  (this is a predicate, i.e., condition)
```
In general, the condition (predicate) can be specified by a Boolean formula with

**NOT, AND, OR** on atomic conditions, where a condition is:

- A comparison between two column names,
- A comparison between a column name and a constant
- Technically, a constant should be put in quotes
- Even a number, such as 4, perhaps should be put in quotes, as ‘4’, so that it is distinguished from a column name, but as we will **never** use numbers for column names, this not necessary

### Cartesian Product

**SQL statement**

```sql
SELECT A, R.B, C, S.B, D
FROM R, S;
```

(comma stands for Cartesian product)
A Typical Use Of Cartesian Product

### SQL statement:

```
SELECT ID#, R.Room#, Size
FROM R, S
WHERE R.Room# = S.Room#;
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Room#</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Room#</th>
<th>YOB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This allowed us to correlate the information from the two original tables by examining each tuple in turn.
A Typical Use Of Cartesian Product

- This example showed how to correlate information from two tables
  - The first table had information about rooms and their sizes
  - The second table had information about employees including the rooms they sit in
  - The resulting table allows us to find out what are the sizes of the rooms the employees sit in

- We had to specify R.Room# or S.Room#, even though they happen to be equal due to the specific equality condition

- We could, as we will see later, rename a column, to get Room#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Room#</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Union

- SQL statement

```sql
(SELECT * FROM R)
UNION
(SELECT * FROM S);
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note: We happened to choose to remove duplicate rows
- Note: we *could not* just write R UNION S (syntax quirk)
Union Compatibility

- We require same -arity (number of columns), otherwise the result is not a relation.
- Also, the operation “probably” should make sense, that is the values in corresponding columns should be drawn from the same domains.
- Actually, best to assume that the column names are the same and that is what we will do from now on.
- We refer to these as *union compatibility* of relations.
- Sometimes, just the term *compatibility* is used.

**Difference**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SQL statement
  - (SELECT *
  - FROM R)
  - MINUS
  - (SELECT *
  - FROM S);
- Union compatibility required.
- EXCEPT is a synonym for MINUS.
Intersection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SQL statement
  
  ```sql
  (SELECT *
   FROM R)
  INTERSECT
  (SELECT *
   FROM S);
  ```

- Union compatibility required
- Can be computed using differences only: \( R - (R - S) \)

From Relational Algebra to Queries

- These operations allow us to define a large number of interesting queries for relational databases.
- In order to be able to formulate our examples, we will assume standard programming language type of operations:
  - Assignment of an expression to a new variable;
  - In our case assignment of a relational expression to a relational variable.
  - Renaming of a relations, to use another name to denote it
  - Renaming of a column, to use another name to denote it
A Small Example

- The example consists of 3 relations:
  - **Person(Name, Sex, Age)**
    - This relation, whose primary key is Name, gives information about the human’s sex and age
  - **Birth(Parent, Child)**
    - This relation, whose primary key is the pair Parent, Child, with both being foreign keys referring to Person gives information about who is a parent of whom. (Both mother and father would be generally listed)
  - **Marriage(Husband, Wife, Age)** or **Marriage(Husband, Wife, Age)**
    - This relation listing current marriages only, requires choosing which spouse will serve as primary key. For our exercise, it does not matter what the choice is. Both Husband and Wife are foreign keys referring to Person. Age specifies how long the marriage has lasted.
  - For each attribute above, we will frequently use its first letter to refer to it, to save space in the slides, unless it creates an ambiguity
  - Some ages do not make sense, but this is fine for our example

Relational Implementation

- Two options for selecting the primary key of Marriage
- The design is not necessarily good, but nice and simple for learning relational algebra

Because we want to focus on relational algebra, which does not understand keys, we will not specify keys in this unit
Microsoft Access Database

- Microsoft Access Database with this example has been posted
  - The example suggests that you download and install Microsoft Access 2007
  - The examples are in the Access 2000 format so that if you have an older version, you can work with it
- Access is a very good tool for quickly learning basic constructs of SQL DML, although it is not suitable for anything other than personal databases

The database and our queries (other than the one with MINUS at the end) are the appropriate “extras” directory on the class web in “slides”
  - MINUS is frequently specified in commercial databases in a roundabout way
  - We will cover how it is done when we discuss commercial databases
- Our sample Access database: People.mdb
- The queries in Microsoft Access are copied and pasted in these notes, after reformatting them
- Included copied and pasted screen shots of the results of the queries so that you can correlate the queries with the names of the resulting tables
Our Database With Sample Queries - Open In Microsoft Access

Our Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marriage</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our Instance In Microsoft Access

A Query

- Produce the relation Answer(A) consisting of all ages of people
- Note that all the information required can be obtained from looking at a single relation, Person

Answer:=

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Query In Microsoft Access

- The actual query was copied and pasted from Microsoft Access and reformatted for readability
- The result is below

![AgesOfPeople](image)

A Query

- Produce the relation Answer(N) consisting of all women who are less or equal than 32 years old.
- Note that all the information required can be obtained from looking at a single relation, Person
- Answer:=
  ```sql
  SELECT N
  FROM Person
  WHERE A <= 32 AND S = 'F';
  ```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The actual query was copied and pasted from Microsoft Access and reformatted for readability.

The result is below:

A Query

- Produce a relation Answer(P, Daughter) with the obvious meaning.
- Here, even though the answer comes only from the single relation Birth, we still have to check in the relation Person what the S of the C is.
- To do that, we create the Cartesian product of the two relations: Person and Birth. This gives us “long tuples,” consisting of a tuple in Person and a tuple in Birth.
- For our purpose, the two tuples matched if N in Person is C in Birth and the S of the N is F.
A Query

Answer:=

```
SELECT P, C AS Daughter
FROM Person, Birth
WHERE C = N AND S = 'F';
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>Daughter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note that AS was the attribute renaming operator

---

Cartesian Product With Condition: Matching Tuples Indicated

```
The actual query was copied and pasted from Microsoft Access and reformatted for readability

The result is below

A Query

- Produce a relation Answer(Father, Daughter) with the obvious meaning.
- Here we have to simultaneously look at two copies of the relation Person, as we have to determine both the S of the Parent and the S of the C
- We need to have two distinct copies of Person in our SQL query
- But, they have to have different names so we can specify to which we refer
- Again, we use AS as a renaming operator, these time for relations
A Query

- Answer :=

```
SELECT P AS Father, C AS Daughter
FROM Person, Birth, Person AS Person1
WHERE P = Person.N AND C = Person1.N
AND Person.S = 'M' AND Person1.S = 'F';
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Father</th>
<th>Daughter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cartesian Product With Condition: Matching Tuples Indicated

```
Person| N  | S  | A |
--------|----|----|---|
Albert  | M  | 20 |   |
Dennis  | M  | 40 |   |
Evelyn  | F  | 20 |   |
John    | M  | 60 |   |
Mary    | F  | 40 |   |
Robert  | M  | 60 |   |
Susan   | F  | 40 |   |
```

```
Person| N  | S  | A |
--------|----|----|---|
Albert  | M  | 20 |   |
Dennis  | M  | 40 |   |
Evelyn  | F  | 20 |   |
John    | M  | 60 |   |
Mary    | F  | 40 |   |
Robert  | M  | 60 |   |
Susan   | F  | 40 |   |
```

```
Birth| P  | C  |
-----|----|----|
Dennis| Albert|
John  | Mary  |
Mary  | Albert|
Robert| Evelyn|
Susan | Evelyn|
Susan | Richard|
```
A Query

- Produce a relation: Answer(Father_in_law, Son_in_law).
- A classroom exercise, but you can see the solution in the posted database.
- Hint: you need to compute the Cartesian product of several relations if you start from scratch, or of two relations if you use the previously computed (Father, Daughter) relation.
The actual query was copied and pasted from Microsoft Access and reformatted for readability.
The result is below:

A Query

- Produce a relation: Answer(Grandparent,Grandchild)
- A classroom exercise, but you can see the solution in the posted database:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G_P</th>
<th>G_C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>Albert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Cartesian Product With Condition: Matching Tuples Indicated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Query In Microsoft Access**

- The actual query was copied and pasted from Microsoft Access and reformatted for readability
- The result is below
Further Distance

- How to compute (Great-grandparent, Great-grandchild)?
  - Easy, just take the Cartesian product of the (Grandparent, Grandchild) table with (Parent, Child) table and specify equality on the “intermediate” person.
- How to compute (Great-great-grandparent, Great-great-grandchild)?
  - Easy, just take the Cartesian product of the (Grandparent, Grandchild) table with itself and specify equality on the “intermediate” person.
- Similarly, can compute (Great[^x]-grandparent, Great[^x]-grandchild), for any x.
- Ultimately, may want (Ancestor, Descendant).

Relational Algebra Is Not Universal: Cannot Compute (Ancestor, Descendant)

- Standard programming languages are universal.
  - This roughly means that they are as powerful as Turing machines, if unbounded amount of storage is permitted (you will never run out of memory).
  - This roughly means that they can compute anything that can be computed by any computational machine we can (at least currently) imagine.
- Relational algebra is weaker than a standard programming language.
- It is impossible in relational algebra (or standard SQL) to compute the relation Answer(Ancestor, Descendant).
Relational Algebra Is Not Universal: Cannot Compute (Ancestor, Descendant)

- It is impossible in relational algebra (or standard SQL) to compute the relation Answer(Ancestor, Descendant)
- Why?
- The proof is a reasonably simple, but uses cumbersome induction.
- The general idea is:
  - Any relational algebra query is limited in how many relations or copies of relations it can refer to
  - Computing arbitrary (ancestor, descendant) pairs cannot be done, if the query is limited in advance as to the number of relations and copies of relations (including intermediate results) it can specify
- This is not a contrived example because it shows that we cannot compute the transitive closure of a directed graph: the set of all paths in the graph

A Sample Query

- Produce a relation Answer(A) consisting of all ages of visitors that are not ages of marriages

SELECT
A FROM Person
MINUS
SELECT
A FROM MARRIAGE;
The Query In Microsoft Access

- We do not show this here, as it is done in a roundabout way and we will do it later

It Does Not Matter If We Remove Duplicates

- Removing duplicates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not removing duplicates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**It Does Not Matter If We Remove Duplicates**

- The resulting set contains precisely ages: 40, 60
- So we do not have to be concerned with whether the implementation removes duplicates from the result or not
- In both cases we can answer correctly
  - Is 50 a number that is an age of a marriage but not of a person
  - Is 40 a number that is an age of a marriage but not of a person
- Just like we do not have to be concerned with whether it sorts (orders) the result
- This is the consequence of us not insisting that an element in a set appears only once, as we discussed earlier
- **Note, if we had said that an element in a set appears once, we would have to spend effort removing duplicates!**

**Now To “Pure” Relational Algebra**

- This was described in several slides
- But it is really the same as before, just the notation is more mathematical
- Looks like mathematical expressions, not snippets of programs
- It is useful to know this because many articles use this instead of SQL
- This notation came first, before SQL was invented, and when relational databases were just a theoretical construct
### Projection: Choice Of Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **SQL statement**

```sql
SELECT B, A, D
FROM R
```

- **Relational Algebra**

```sql
\text{\pi}_{B,A,D}(R)
```

- We could have removed the duplicate row, but did not have to

### Selection: Choice Of Rows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **SQL statement:**

```sql
SELECT *
FROM R
WHERE A <= C AND D = 4;
```

- **Relational Algebra**

```sql
\text{\sigma}_{A \leq C \land D=4}(R) \text{ Note: no need for } \text{\pi}
```

```sql
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
A & B & C & D \\
\hline
5 & 5 & 7 & 4 \\
4 & 5 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
```
Selection

- In general, the condition (predicate) can be specified by a Boolean formula with
  ¬, ∧, and ∨ on atomic conditions, where a condition is:
  » a comparison between two column names,
  » a comparison between a column name and a constant
  » Technically, a constant should be put in quotes
  » Even a number, such as 4, perhaps should be put in quotes, as ‘4’ so that it is distinguished from a column name, but as we will never use numbers for column names, this not necessary

\[ \text{Cartesian Product} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SQL statement
  SELECT A, R.B, C, S.B, D
  FROM R, S

- Relational Algebra
  \[ R \times S \]
A Typical Use Of Cartesian Product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Room#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Room#</th>
<th>YOB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td></td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SQL statement:**
```
SELECT ID#, R.Room#, Size
FROM R, S
WHERE R.Room# = S.Room#
```

**Relational Algebra**
```
\pi_{ID#, R.Room#, Size} (\sigma_{R.B = S.B} (R \times S))
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>R.Room#</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∪: Union

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SQL statement**
```
(SELECT * FROM R)
UNION
(SELECT * FROM S)
```

**Relational Algebra**
```
R \cup S
```

**Note:** We happened to choose to remove duplicate rows

**Union compatibility required**
### Difference

**SQL statement**

```
(SELECT * FROM R) MINUS (SELECT * FROM S)
```

**Relational Algebra**

```
R \ S
```

- **Union compatibility required**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection

**SQL statement**

```
(SELECT * FROM R) INTERSECT (SELECT * FROM S)
```

**Relational Algebra**

```
R \* S
```

- **Union compatibility required**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary (1/2)

- A relation is a set of rows in a table with labeled columns
- Relational algebra as the basis for SQL
- Basic operations:
  - Union (requires union compatibility)
  - Difference (requires union compatibility)
  - Intersection (requires union compatibility); technically not a basic operation
  - Selection of rows
  - Selection of columns
  - Cartesian product
- These operations define an algebra: given an expression on relations, the result is a relation (this is a "closed" system)
- Combining this operations allows production of sophisticated queries

Summary (2/2)

- Relational algebra is not universal: We can write programs producing queries that cannot be specified using relational algebra
- We focused on relational algebra specified using SQL syntax, as this is more important in practice
- The other, "more mathematical" notation came first and is used in research and other venues, but not commercially
Relational algebra and relational calculus are formal languages for the relational model of data.

A relation is a set of rows in a table with labeled columns.

Relational algebra and associated operations are the basis for SQL.

These relational operations define an algebra.

Relational algebra is not universal as it is possible to write programs producing queries that cannot be specified using relational algebra.

Relational algebra can be specified using SQL syntax.

The other, “more mathematical” notation came first and is used in research and other venues, but not commercially.
Assignments & Readings

- Readings
  - Slides and Handouts posted on the course web site
  - Textbook: Chapters 6

- Assignment #3

- Project Framework Setup (ongoing)

Next Session: Standard Query Language (SQL) Features

- SQL as implemented in commercial databases